

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fateril and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATRICTS P.O. Box 1459 Microschy, Victors 22(1)-14470

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,092	12/17/2001	Manuel Burger	BURGER-2	2901
COLLARD & ROE, P.C.		EXAMINER		
1077 Northern Boulevard			EDWARDS, LAURA ESTELLE	
Roslyn, NY	11576-1696		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Patient and Trad TOL-328 (Rev	v. 11-03)	Office Action Summ	Part of Paper No. 011	404
2) Notice 5) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review attion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144)	v (PTO-948) I) Paper No(s)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other	
Attachment(s	s) of References Cited (PTO-892)		- 5	
37 a) 14) 🗆 Ac	CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign cknowledgment is made of a claim.	ided in the first senten language provisional m for domestic priority	ce of the specification or in an Application Data S	sheer
* Se 13) 🗆 Ac	All b) Some c) None 1. Certified copies of the prid 2. Certified copies of the prid 3. Copies of the certified cop application from the Intern ee the attached detailed Office a cknowledgment is made of a cial	of: ifty documents have b ifty documents have b ies of the priority docu- ational Bureau (PCT R ction for a list of the ce m for domestic priority	een received in Application No ments have been received in this National Stage rule 17.2(a)). riffied copies not received.	ation
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
11)[_] 1	The oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152	:1(a) 2.
	Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawing(:	s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1 85(a). uired if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1 12	
9)[]	The specification is objected to b The drawing(s) filed onis.	y the Examiner. are: a) ☐ accepted or	b) objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicati	on Papers			
7) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to res		requirement.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s)		consideration	
	closed in accordance with the p ion of Claims	ition for allowance exc ractice under Ex parte	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the meri Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ts is
	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i		
	Responsive to communication(
- If the - If NC - Palit - Any earn Status	e parted for reply a prefided above is less than 1 D period for reply is specified above, the macer are to reply within the set or outlended period for reply second by the Office later than three m and patient form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	tomannection state (20) days, a coply within the nam state or pened will apply a r oply will, by statute, cause the noths after the making date of th (b).	to event, however, may a reply be timely filed a shadory melinium of tarty (30) days will be considered finishly, and will expect 80% (90 MONTH's from the mailing date of the communit and will expect 80% (90 MONTH's from the mailing date of the communit as application to become ABANDONED (18 U.S.C. § 139), is communitation, even if timely filed, may reduce easy.	cation

Application No.

Laura E Fowerds

10/022,092

Examiner

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM

Office Action Summary

Period for Reply

1) | 2 U.S. Paten PTOL-3 Applicant(s)

Art Unit

1734

BURGER, MANUEL

Application/Control Number: 10/022,092 Art Unit: 1734

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-24, drawn to process, classified in class 264, subclass 481.
- II. Claims 25-38, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 425, subclass 392.
 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either. (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to shape a solid object such or rod instead of a hollow pipe.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Allison Collard on 1/14/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura E. Edwards whose telephone number is (571) 272-1227. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (703) 308-3853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

> Laura E. Edwards Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

January 14, 2004